

Exhibit 12



Planet Depos®
We Make It Happen™

Transcript of Lars Christianson, Designated Representative

Date: June 26, 2018

Case: UMG Recordings, Inc., et al. -v- Grande Communications Networks, LLC, et al.

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email:: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

Transcript of Lars Christianson, Designated Representative

31 (121 to 124)

Conducted on June 26, 2018

<p>1 his testimony and the document. Just caution the 2 witness not to speculate.</p> <p>3 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:</p> <p>4 Q. Do you have any doubt that your e-mail is 5 saying "The Court's decision is an interesting 6 read," with the link after it, is sending him the 7 link to the Court's decision?</p> <p>8 A. No. I'm sending him the Court's decision.</p> <p>9 Q. Right. Was it your practice at the time 10 to try to stay apprised of developments in the law 11 regarding the DMCA safe harbor?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you recall reading the Court decision?</p> <p>14 A. I don't. I'm not even sure what this is 15 referring to.</p> <p>16 Q. I take it, then, you don't recall this 17 exchange?</p> <p>18 A. No. I mean, clearly it took place, but I 19 don't remember what it was even about.</p> <p>20 Q. If you can flip back, just to orient 21 ourselves, we looked at a handful of e-mails this 22 morning that were from the February 2016 time 23 frame. Do you recall that?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. So for example, if you turn back to PX178,</p>	<p>121</p> <p>1 produced by Grande in this case, right?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Bates number ending 522 [sic]?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And it is an e-mail chain also</p> <p>6 February 26, 2016. Do you see that?</p> <p>7 MR. BROPHY: Sorry, Counsel. 522? Do you 8 mean 523? The document I have has Bates number 9 GRANDE1438523.</p> <p>10 MR. O'BEIRNE: Sorry, let me see the top 11 of it. Thank you, Counsel. My apologies.</p> <p>12 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:</p> <p>13 Q. 523.</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And PX179, ending in Bates 523, is also an 16 e-mail chain from February 2016, right?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. From February 22nd, right?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. And in your original e-mail to Mr. Quigley 21 and Mr. Horton, you have the subject "Digital 22 Rightscorp fixed." Do you see that?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Before we look at the e-mail in more 25 detail, do you recall a time where you fixed the</p>
<p>1 which was the document you reviewed in your 2 preparations, it's an e-mail chain from 3 February 18th, 2016. Do you see that?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. As is PX82, which was the 6 Rightscorp-specific count in your e-mail. Do you 7 see that?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. And then February 22nd is PX107?</p> <p>10 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Do you see that, right? Do you see 12 that?</p> <p>13 A. PX82, PX178 and PX107.</p> <p>14 Q. They're all February 2016?</p> <p>15 A. Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. You would agree with me, right?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And the last one I hand-marked is 19 178, right? There's no 179?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, 178.</p> <p>21 (Deposition Exhibit 179 marked for 22 identification.)</p> <p>23 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:</p> <p>24 Q. I'm handing you what I've just marked as 25 PX179. You would agree with me this is a document</p>	<p>122</p> <p>1 abuse system's parsing of e-mails from Rightscorp?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Please describe what you remember about 4 that.</p> <p>5 A. The -- at some point, the -- and I don't 6 know when, the e-mail format changed and the media 7 title was no longer getting added into the record. 8 It was still available in the body, but it wasn't 9 in the record. So I updated the system so that 10 the media title would be in the record.</p> <p>11 Q. How would the absence of a media title 12 affect, if at all, the system's parsing of the 13 notice?</p> <p>14 A. I would think it wouldn't. It was just 15 missing the title information in that table. So I 16 corrected it so that the title would -- the title 17 of the work would be included in the column row.</p> <p>18 Q. So Grande was receiving the title of the 19 work information, it just wasn't making its way 20 through the parsing of the system?</p> <p>21 A. As far as I understand, they were being 22 parsed correctly, it's just that the title of the 23 work was not being passed.</p> <p>24 Q. But correct parsing would've included --</p> <p>25 A. The title of the work.</p>

Transcript of Lars Christianson, Designated Representative

32 (125 to 128)

Conducted on June 26, 2018

	125		127
1 Q. -- the title of the work?		1 Q. So the information was there, you were	
2 A. Yes.		2 just updating Grande's system to correctly get the	
3 Q. And the fix you made --		3 information and parse it?	
4 A. Added the title.		4 A. Yes.	
5 Q. -- added the title? But your testimony,		5 Q. Did you reach out to Rightscorp at this	
6 based on your recollection today, is that the		6 time to discuss with them the content of their	
7 notices were getting parsed, just that the media		7 notices?	
8 title field was blank after the parsing?		8 A. I don't know.	
9 A. Yes.		9 Q. Sitting here today, do you have any	
10 Q. Do you recall how you became aware of		10 recollection of reaching out to Rightscorp at any	
11 this?		11 time to discuss the contents of their notices?	
12 A. I believe we became aware of it when we		12 A. That's a vague question. Do you mean me	
13 started running some of the SQL queries around		13 or do you mean anybody at Grande?	
14 that same time.		14 Q. Let's start with you.	
15 Q. The ones we've already looked at?		15 A. No, I did not reach out to Rightscorp.	
16 A. Yes.		16 Q. At any time --	
17 Q. How many notices we get from Rightscorp at		17 A. No.	
18 a given time?		18 Q. -- in your life?	
19 A. Yes.		19 A. No.	
20 Q. And so this was not something you noticed		20 Q. Do you know of anybody at Grande ever	
21 otherwise in the normal course of just monitoring		21 reaching out to Rightscorp --	
22 the system; you recall this being precipitated by		22 A. I do not, no.	
23 the specific squirrel [sic] queries?		23 Q. -- to discuss -- sorry, I paused and	
24 A. Yes.		24 enticed you to answer. Let's try again.	
25 Q. Did I say that right, squirrel? Is that		25 Do you recall -- strike that.	
	126		128
1 the --		1 Do you know of anybody at Grande ever	
2 A. SQL.		2 reaching out to Rightscorp to discuss the content	
3 Q. SQL. Squirrel. Sorry. SQL queries. So		3 of Rightscorp's notices?	
4 just to clean that up, because squirrel doesn't		4 A. I don't know that information.	
5 enter into this. It's your recollection that the		5 Q. Meaning you're not aware of that ever	
6 SQL queries that we've already looked at being run		6 happening?	
7 for Rightscorp-specific information precipitated		7 A. I am not aware of that.	
8 this review of the glitch regarding the media		8 Q. Or to discuss the origin of any notice	
9 title and the parsing?		9 claiming to be from Rightscorp?	
10 A. Yes.		10 A. I do not know that.	
11 Q. And you said in your e-mail, "I am now		11 Q. By you don't know, you mean you're unaware	
12 adding the file name in question to the event."		12 of any facts that any person at Grande ever	
13 A. Yes.		13 reached out to Rightscorp?	
14 Q. Okay. How manually would you go about		14 MR. BROPHY: Objection, vague.	
15 doing that?		15 You can answer.	
16 A. I wouldn't go about it manually. I		16 A. I'm unaware of any contact to Rightscorp.	
17 changed the -- okay. I went into the e-mails that		17 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	
18 were not parsing properly and looked for what the		18 Q. Bear with me for a second.	
19 code was looking for, which is usually a term like		19 (Deposition Exhibit 180 marked for	
20 work, name of work or IP address or date time.		20 identification.)	
21 And I would correct to the new format, so that		21 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	
22 when the SQL -- or when the scripts would run		22 Q. I'm handing you what I've just marked as	
23 against new e-mails as they would come through,		23 PX180. You would agree with me this is a document	
24 they would correctly grab that information and		24 produced by Grande in this case?	
25 parse it into the ticket.		25 A. Yes.	

Transcript of Lars Christianson, Designated Representative

33 (129 to 132)

Conducted on June 26, 2018

	129		131
1 Q.	And this one does end in Bates number 522?	1 out?	
2 A. Yes.		2 A. Possibly.	
3 Q.	And this is a response from Mr. Horton to	3 Q. Do you recall trying to do so?	
4 your e-mail regarding fixing the glitch, right?		4 A. I mean, I remember fixing the glitch. I	
5 A. Yes.		5 don't remember really much what happened after it.	
6 Q.	And he asks you, "Can we tell when this	6 I mean...	
7 changed or when this issue started?"		7 Q. How would you go about determining	
8 Do you see that?		8 whether, prior to fixing the glitch in Grande's	
9 A. Yes.		9 system, letters were generated based on the	
10 Q.	Do you recall being able to determine when	10 notices from Digital Rightscorp's e-mail address?	
11 it had started?		11 A. I would think we would have to talk to the	
12 A. I don't recall looking for that		12 owner of that process.	
13 information.		13 Q. Who is that?	
14 (Deposition Exhibit 181 marked for		14 A. That would probably be the billing team at	
15 identification.)		15 that point. I don't know for sure.	
16 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:		16 Q. Whomever was in charge of the actual	
17 Q.	I'm handing you what I've just marked as	17 sending of the letters?	
18 PX181. You'd agree this is a document produced by		18 A. Yes.	
19 Grande?		19 Q. But is it your understanding that's	
20 A. Yes.		20 information that Grande could determine if it went	
21 Q.	And it is your response to Mr. Horton's	21 to look for it?	
22 e-mail from PX180. Do you see that?		22 MR. BROPHY: Objection, calls for	
23 A. Yes.		23 speculation.	
24 Q.	And you explain to him, "Until the fix I	24 A. I have no idea.	
25 just implemented, I can't find a record where it		25	
	130		132
1 worked."		1 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	
2 Do you see that?		2 Q. You'd agree with me that's the response	
3 A. Yes.		3 you sent February 22nd, 2016? You see that,	
4 Q.	You go on to say, "I think when the system	4 right?	
5 was built, this flaw was present. Or, when these		5 A. For 524?	
6 e-mails were added to that parsing section of the		6 Q. Yes.	
7 script, it wasn't vetted properly."		7 A. Yeah, I agree.	
8 Do you see that?		8 Q. PX181.	
9 A. Sure. Yes.		9 A. Yes, I agree.	
10 Q.	That's an accurate description of what you	10 (Deposition Exhibit 182 marked for	
11 determined at the time, right?		11 identification.)	
12 A. Yes. Well -- yes, that is an accurate		12 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	
13 description.		13 Q. Handing you what I've just marked as	
14 Q.	Are you talking about just the media	14 PX182. This is a document produced by Grande in	
15 title --		15 this case, right?	
16 A. Yes.		16 (Witness reviews document.)	
17 Q. -- portion of the parsing --		17 BY MR. O'BEIRNE:	
18 A. Yes.		18 Q. Sir, this is a document produced by	
19 Q. -- right? Sitting here today, are you		19 Grande?	
20 aware of whether or not Grande was generating		20 A. Yes.	
21 letters as a result of the information parsed		21 Q. And if you look back at PX179, Mr. Horton	
22 through the abuse process for the Rightscorp		22 had sent an e-mail to you and Mr. Quigley asking,	
23 notices prior to this fix of the glitch?		23 "Jimmy - Matt wants to know how many letters we	
24 A. I don't know either way.		24 have sent throughout 2015 and year-to-date 2016 by	
25 Q.	Did anybody ever ask you to figure that	25 DMCA Entity source."	